

(19)



Europäisches Patentamt

European Patent Office

Office européen des brevets



(11)

EP 0 796 559 A1

(12)

EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION

(43) Date of publication:

24.09.1997 Bulletin 1997/39

(51) Int. Cl.⁶: A21D 8/04

(21) Application number: 97200681.1

(22) Date of filing: 10.03.1997

(84) Designated Contracting States:

AT BE CH DE DK ES FI FR GB GR IE IT LI LU MC
NL PT SE

• Naeye, Thierry Jean-Bernard

59150 Wattrelos (FR)

(30) Priority: 19.03.1996 EP 96200760

(71) Applicant: GIST-BROCADES B.V.

NL-2600 MA Delft (NL)

(74) Representative:

Matulewicz, Emil Rudolf Antonius, Dr. et al

Gist-Brocades BV

Patents and Trademarks Department

Wateringseweg 1

P.O. Box 1

2600 MA Delft (NL)

(72) Inventors:

• Soupe, Jérôme

59290 Wasquehal (FR)

(54) A novel enzyme combination

(57) An enzyme composition is disclosed comprising

- a protease which is inactivated by oxidation by an oxidizing agent; and
- an enzyme which produces the oxidizing agent.

This composition can be used to soften doughs for biscuit production.

EP 0 796 559 A1

Description

The present invention relates to a composition comprising an enzyme which produces an oxidising agent and a protease which is inactivated by that agent. Such compositions find use in doughs for baking.

Metabisulfite is currently used in the baking industry to soften doughs. In particular, sulfite is used in the biscuit industry to reduce shrinking of dough pieces and irregular sizing of baked products. Doughs contain as a minimum flour and water although they may well of course contain yeast, sugar, enzymes, sodium bicarbonate etc. Sulfite is thought to react with gluten proteins in a way that prevents them from forming inter covalent S-S bridges (C.E. Stauffer (1994), The Science of Cookie and Cracker Production ed. by Hamed Faridi, Chapman & Hall New York London, Chapter 6. p. 237-238). The effect of sulfite in dough is almost immediate and results in an inextensible and inelastic dough. Sulfite also activates wheat proteases which enhances the breakdown of the gluten structure (H.S. Olcott, L.A. Sapirstein, M.J. Blish, Cereal Chem. (1943) 20 (1), 87-97). Cysteine and glutathione were also shown to have similar effects (C.O. Swanson, A.C. Andrews, Cereal Chem. (1945) 22 (3), 134-149).

Papain was one of the first enzymes applied to wheat gluten modification (C.O. Swanson, A.C. Andrews, Cereal Chem. (1945) 22 (3), 134-149; R.H. Harris, J. Jr Johnson, Cereal Chem. (1940) 17 (3), 203-222). The use of microbial proteases has been also described in many patents: US Patent 3,157,513, US Patent 1,377,798, US Patent 4,100,151, UK Patent 2007960, and German Patent Application DE 3003679 A1. Microbial proteases may be combined with porcine pancreas enzymes as described in EP 0384303. Partial enzymatic hydrolysis of wheat gluten has also been described using proteases from *Thermoactinomyces vulgaris* as described in M. Friedrich, J. Noack, R. Noack, Die Nahrung (1982) 26 (9) 811-822; J.I. Tschimirov, K.D. Schweinke, D. Augustat, V. Tolstoguzov, Die Nahrung (1983) 27 (7) 659-668.

Compared to sulfite, proteases work in a different way since they hydrolyse peptide bonds of gluten. This also lowers the degree of shrinking of dough pieces and gives more regular sizing of biscuits. Nevertheless the action of such proteases is time dependent. This is the major limiting factor in the use of proteases in doughs because biscuit manufacturers need some degree of freedom with regard to resting time of doughs. This is possible with the quick effect of reducing agents like sulfites but is not easy to manage with the continuous action of proteases.

It has been surprisingly found that a new combination of enzymes can enable biscuit manufacturers to mimic the effect of sulfite in dough. According to the present invention a combination is disclosed of a protease which is inactivated by oxidation and an enzyme capable of giving rise to such oxidation. This combination of enzymes can replace the metabisulfite in dough e.g. dough for baking such as in the production of biscuits.

The oxidation sensitive protease is preferably a thioprotease, for example papain or bromelain. The oxidizing enzyme preferably produces, an oxidising agent such as H_2O_2 (hydrogen peroxide) which can inactivate the protease after a certain time period. Preferably the enzyme is glucose oxidase, sulfhydryl oxidase or amino acid oxidase. Good results may be obtained with papain, such as from *Carica papaya*, commercially available from Gist Brocades under the trade mark Protease V100, combined with a (eg. fungal) glucose oxidase, preferably from *Aspergillus niger*, commercially available from Gist Brocades under the trade mark Maxazyme GO 1500.

By using a protease that may be only active at the beginning of the dough preparation, shrinking of the dough may be reduced and more regular sizes of baked products, such as biscuits, can be obtained. The action of (or each) protease can then be substantially decreased when the concentration of the oxidizing agent(s) has reached a particular (inactivating) level. Therefore, the required amount of enzyme necessary for the production of sufficient oxidizing agent can be a function of the oxidation stability of the protease, the amount of protease present, the effectiveness of the oxidizing agent and the desired time after which the protease activity should be decreased to a desired level.

The activity of protease (NF) is determined by the hydrolysis of casein at pH 6.0, 40°C for 60 minutes. One NF unit is the amount of enzyme needed to liberate the equivalent of 1 μ g tyrosine per hour after precipitation of the remaining proteins with trichloroacetic acid.

The activity of oxidases can generally be determined by oxidizing a substrate in a buffer (pH around 5.4) at a temperature around 37°C for 10 minutes. The hydrogen peroxide produced is measured in the presence of horse radish peroxidase and o-dianisidine dihydrochloride. 1 SU is the amount of enzyme needed to consume 0.4 μ mole oxygen/minute.

In general, 10^5 to 10^9 NF/kg flour, preferably 10^6 to 10^8 NF/kg flour of protease is added to (or present in) the dough. Of the enzyme that produces the oxidizing agent e.g. glucose oxidase, 50 to 50000 SU/kg flour, preferably 100 to 2000 SU/kg flour is added to (or is present in) the dough.

Taking glucose oxidase as an example, the activity of glucose oxidase (GOX) is determined by oxidizing glucose (0.11 M) in 0.1M phthalate buffer (pH 5.4) at 37°C for 10 minutes in the presence of horse radish peroxidase (40 mg/l of POD-II, available from Boehringer Mannheim) and o-dianisidine dihydrochloride (130 mg/l). 1 SU is the amount of enzyme needed to consume 0.4 μ mole oxygen/minute under the conditions of the test.

Sulfhydryl oxidase (SOX) cannot be determined by the above method because hydrogen peroxide reacts with the substrate of SOX (glutathione). Instead, sulfhydryl oxidase activity is determined by measuring the decrease of the substrate glutathione as described by Young and Nimmo, Biochem. J. 130 (1972) 33. One sulfhydryl oxidase unit is equal

to an enzyme amount required for depleting 1 μ mole of oxygen/minute from a test mixture containing 8 mmol of GSH (glutathione) and 40 mmol of sodium acetate (pH 5.5) at 25°C.

The amount of hydrogen peroxide produced by 1 unit of SOX is about the same as for 1 unit of GOX (SU).

5 Use of the Farinograph and its Interpretation

The farinograph measures and records the resistance of a dough during mixing. With this apparatus it is possible to measure the effect of compounds that affect the consistency of a dough, such as metabisulfite and protease. A consistency of about 500 BU (Brabander Units) is a good consistency for bread baking. When the gluten in a dough is hydrolysed by a protease the resulting mixture containing starch and hydrolysed protein has a final consistency of from 100 to 200 BU. For biscuit baking the desired consistency is in between the consistency of a bread dough and of a fully hydrolysed dough, for example preferably from 300 to 400 BU.

The unit DS₁₅ is the decrease in the farinograph curve between the maximum and 15 minutes after the maximum.

The invention will now be described, by illustration only, with reference to the following Examples and drawings, in which:

Figure 1 is the farinogram of the dough of test no. 1 of Example 1;

Figure 2 is the farinogram of the dough of test no. 2 of Example 1; and

Figure 3 is the farinogram of the dough of test no. 4 of Example 1.

20 Example 1

A dough was prepared from a wheat flour by mixing 300 g flour and water (final volume 188 ml) for at least 20 minutes in a farinograph, as a control or with a protease and/or oxidising enzyme. For tests in which GOX was added, the dough was also supplemented with glucose (2 g/kg flour). Various parameters were measured for four doughs, the results of which are shown in the following Table.

Table 1

Test No.	Enzyme(s)	Dose/kg flour	BU _{max}	DS ₁₅	BU _{width}	relate s to
1	none	0	500	100	70	Fig. 1
2	papain	14.5 10 ⁶ NF	450	290	10	Fig. 2
3	GOX	1000 SU	490	90	80	
4	papain + GOX	14.5 10 ⁶ NF + 1000 SU	520	130	40	Fig. 3

DS₁₅: degree of softening after 15 minutes in BU (Brabander Units)
 BU_{width}: the width of the farinogram trace after 15 minutes mixing time. Typically the effect of proteases is to lower this value yielding a narrow trace in the farinogram.

The results show that glucose oxidase was able to reduce the action of papain. From the figures it is clear that papain hydrolyses the gluten too much so that the resulting dough is not suitable for biscuit baking. The combination of papain and GOX, however, results in a quick decrease in consistency to a desirable level. This level remains more or less constant over time. Prolonged mixing can even result in an increase in consistency, possibly due to indirect oxidation of the gluten by H₂O₂ produced by GOX.

50 Example 2

Influence of glucose concentration

Tests were performed as described in Example 1 test No. 4 but with varied amounts of glucose in the doughs:

Table 2

Test	Glucose g/kg flour	BU _{max}	Mixing time to reach 370 BU
1	0	490	24'
2	2	520	15'
3	10	520	14'

The optimal levels of papain and GOX are dependent on the recipe and process conditions. For example the level of glucose influences the activity of GOX as can be seen from the results shown in Table 2.

Glucose is already present in flour (without any supplementation). Therefore the GOX and papain combination can function without added glucose, if necessary.

The results show that glucose addition enables the dough to recover some (consistency) strength sooner. As can be seen from the farinograph, the consistency first decreases and then increases back to a higher value than when GOX alone was present. Probably, only part of hydrogen peroxide produced by GOX is used to stop papain, part is being used also by peroxidases in the flour to strengthen back the gluten network. The effect of glucose is that more hydrogen peroxide is produced which makes the recovery of dough strength occur faster.

Example 3

Influence of GOX concentration

Tests were performed as described in Example 1 test No. 4 except that the amounts of GOX added to the dough were varied (papain was present at the level in No. 4 of Example 1).

Table 3

Test No.	GOX addition SU/kg flour	BU _{max}	DS _{15'}	BU _{width}
1	0	450	290	10
2	100	470	240	10
3	500	500	150	20
4	1000	520	130	40

The results shown in Table 3 indicate that with more GOX, the higher the consistency of dough.

Example 4

Stability of doughs

Tests were performed as described in Example 1 test Nos 2 and 4 and compared with a control of sulfite. Mixing time was 15 minutes in a first step; dough viscosity was measured after 1 h and 5 h resting times. Glucose was present in doughs at 2 g/kg flour.

Table 4

Test No.	Enzyme	BU _{max}	BU _{1h}	BU _{5h}	BU _{width, 1h}	DS _{15'}
1	papain	450	180	180	10	290
2	papain + GOX	520	320	240	40	130
3	200 ppm sulfite	480	340	290	30	100

In test No. 3, 200 ppm sulfite was used. Doses in biscuit manufacture may range from 200 to 1200 ppm depending on the products and processes involved. BU_{Sh} will be lower with a higher dose of sulfite.

The effect of GOX in combination with papain was to strengthen the dough enough to stabilize it in a way similar to sulfite.

Claims

1. An enzyme composition comprising:

- (a) a protease which is at least partially inactivated on oxidation by an oxidizing agent; and
- (b) an enzyme which produces that oxidizing agent.

2. A composition according to claim 1 wherein the protease is a thioprotease, optionally papain or bromelain.

3. A composition according to claim 1 or 2 wherein the oxidizing agent is H_2O_2 .

4. A composition according to any preceding claim wherein the enzyme which produces the oxidizing agent is glucose oxidase, sulfhydryl oxidase or amino acid oxidase.

5. A composition according to any preceding claim wherein the protease is papain obtained from Carica papaya.

6. A composition according to any preceding claim wherein the enzyme in (b) is glucose oxidase obtained from Aspergillus niger.

7. A dough suitable for baking comprising an enzyme composition according to any preceding claim and optionally other dough ingredients.

8. A dough according to claim 7 wherein the protease is present at from 10^6 to 10^7 NF/kg flour.

9. A dough according to claim 7 or 8 wherein the enzyme is present at from 500 to 1500 SU/kg flour.

10. A method of making a dough suitable for baking, the process comprising admixing the following ingredients:

- (a) a protease that is at least partially inactivated by an oxidising agent;
- (b) an enzyme which produces that oxidising agent;
- (c) flour; and
- (d) water.

11. A method according to claim 10 which comprises adding an enzyme composition according to any of claims 1 to 6 to a dough comprising flour and water.

12. A process for producing a baked product, the process comprising:

(i) providing a dough which comprises

- (a) a protease which is at least partially inactivated on oxidation by an oxidizing agent;
- (b) an enzyme which produces that oxidizing agent;
- (c) flour and water; and

(ii) baking the dough.

13. A baked product produced by a process according to claim 12.

14. Use of an enzyme which produces an oxidizing agent that, by oxidation, inactivates a protease for making dough or in the preparation of a baked product.




Fig. 1 Example 1, test no. 1, blank




Fig. 2 Example 1, test no. 2, papain




Fig. 3 Example 1, test no. 4, papain + GOX



European Patent
Office

EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT

Application Number
EP 97 20 0681

DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT			
Category	Citation of document with indication, where appropriate, of relevant passages	Relevant to claim	CLASSIFICATION OF THE APPLICATION (Int.Cl.6)
X	EP 0 588 426 A (GIST-BROCADES N.V.) * page 3, line 7 - line 16 *	1,3,4	A21D8/04
X	WO 95 01727 A (QUEST INTERNATIONAL B.V.) * page 6, line 21 - line 29 *	1,3,4,7, 10-14	
X	PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN vol. 013, no. 255 (C-606), 13 June 1989 & JP 01 060693 A (AMANO PHARMACEUT. CO. LTD.), 7 March 1989, * abstract *	1,3,4	
Y	WO 94 28727 A (NOVO NORDISK A/S) * page 4, line 28 - page 5, line 10; claims *	1-4	
Y	GB 464 857 A (ALTIESELSKABET DANSK GAERINGS-INDUSTRI) 18 August 1938 * the whole document *	1-4	
A	WO 94 28729 A (NOVO NORDISK A/S) * page 4, line 28 - page 5, line 10; claims *	1,3,4,7, 10-14	TECHNICAL FIELDS SEARCHED (Int.Cl.6) A21D
A	DATABASE WPI Section Ch, Week 8224 Derwent Publications Ltd., London, GB; Class D13, AN 82-49126e XP002012297 & JP 57 074 076 A (ASAHI DENKA KOGYO) , 10 May 1982 * abstract *		
The present search report has been drawn up for all claims			
Place of search THE HAGUE		Date of completion of the search 30 June 1997	Examiner Bevan, S
<p>CATEGORY OF CITED DOCUMENTS</p> <p>X : particularly relevant if taken alone Y : particularly relevant if combined with another document of the same category A : technological background O : non-written disclosure P : intermediate document</p> <p>T : theory or principle underlying the invention E : earlier patent document, but published on, or after the filing date D : document cited in the application L : document cited for other reasons</p> <p>& : member of the same patent family, corresponding document</p>			

EPO FORM 150 (6/92) (P0601)